# Hierarchical Linear Models Using SAS® Iowa SAS Users Group Conference May 13, 2024

Jacqueline Johnson, DrPH, SAS Global Academic Programs

Copyright © SAS Institute Inc. All rights reserved.



### **Hierarchical Data Structures**

- Hierarchical data structures are those in which multiple micro-level units are sampled for each macro-level unit.
- A common hierarchical data structure is when individuals (micro-units) are sampled from naturally occurring groups (macro-units).





### **Dependence in Hierarchical Data**

- Because many micro-level observations come from the same macro-level unit, this produces dependence in the data.
  - Students attending the same school might have more similar academic outcomes than students attending different schools.
  - Employees working with the same manager might have more similar problemsolving strategies than employees working with different managers.
- Multilevel models provide a way to model this dependence, whereas more traditional models do not.





### **Longitudinal Data Structures**

- Longitudinal data structures arise when the same units are sampled repeatedly over time.
- Longitudinal data are useful for tracking change in an outcome over time (for example, response to a drug).





### **Dependence in Longitudinal Data**

- Because repeated measures are collected on the same unit, this produces dependence in the data.
- Example: Employee job performance is tracked over a period of four years.
  - Some employees perform at consistently higher levels compared to other employees.
  - Some employees increase in performance at a steeper rate over time compared to other employees.
- Again, multilevel models provide a way to model this dependence, whereas more traditional models do not.





### The High School and Beyond (hsb) Data Set

- Data are from a 1982 survey of US public and catholic high schools.
- -7,185 students from 160 schools.
- 90 public schools, 60 catholic schools.
- 14 to 67 students per school.
- Variables:
  - Math achievement score for student
  - Socio-economic status of student's family, centered at zero



# The High School and Beyond (hsb) Data Set

#### Questions of Interest

- How much do US high schools vary in mean math achievement?
- Is math achievement related to student SES?
- Is the strength of the relationship between SES and math scores similar across schools? Or is SES a more important predictor of some schools and not others?
- How do public and Catholic high schools compare in mean math achievement and in the strength of the SES-math achievement relationship?



### Multilevel Modeling

- Multilevel modeling does not incorporate schools as a fixed effects predictor, but rather treats schools as randomly sampled from a population.
- Effects are not estimated individually for each school but are assumed to have a particular distribution across the population of schools.
- Nested data structure
- Level 1 = students
- -Level 2 = schools



### The MIXED Procedure

General form of the MIXED procedure:

PROC MIXED options; CLASS classification variables; MODEL outcome = fixed-effects / options; RANDOM random effects / options; RUN;









Level 1 Equation:

$$Math_{ij} = b_{0j} + b_{1j}SES_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

Level 2 Equations:

$$b_{0j} = \beta_{00}$$
$$b_{1j} = \beta_{10}$$

**Reduced-Form Equation:** 

$$Math_{ij} = \beta_{00} + \beta_{10}SES_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}$$
  
Fixed-Effects



#### $\mathcal{E}_{ii} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$



Reduced-Form Equation:

$$Math_{ij} = \beta_{00} + \beta_{10}SES_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}$$
  
Fixed-Effects

proc mixed data=mixed.hsb cl covtest; model student mathach = student ses / solution; run;





Assumes Independence of All Observations

Covariance Parameter Estimates										
Cov Parm	m Estimate Standard Error		Z Value	Pr > Z	Alpha	Lower				
Residual	41.1588	0.6868	59.93	<.0001	0.05	39.8452				

41.16 = Level 1 variability of math achievement scores

Solution for Fixed Effects								
Effect	Estimate	Standard Error	DF	t Value	Pr >  t			
Intercept	12.7474	0.07569	7183	168.42	<.0001			
student_ses	3.1839	0.09712	7183	32.78	<.0001			

12.75 = expected math achievement of a student from an average SES family 3.18 = expected increase in math achievement per one-unit increase in SES



Upper
42.5388







Level 1 Equation:

$$\operatorname{Math}_{ij} = b_{0j} + b_{1j} \operatorname{SES}_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \qquad \varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

Level 2 Equations:

$$b_{0j} = \beta_{00} + b_{0j}^{*}$$
  

$$b_{0j}^{*} \sim N(0, \sigma_{0}^{2})$$
  

$$b_{0j}^{*} \sim N(0, \sigma_{0}^{2})$$

**Reduced-Form Equation:** 

$$Math_{ij} = \left(\beta_{00} + b *_{0j}\right) + \beta_{10}SES_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}$$
$$= \left(\beta_{00} + \beta_{10}SES_{ij}\right) + b *_{0j} + \varepsilon_{ij}$$
$$\underbrace{-}_{Fixed-}_{Fixed-}_{Fifects}$$
Random-Effects

)



Reduced-Form Equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Math}_{ij} &= \left(\beta_{00} + b *_{0j}\right) + \beta_{10} \text{SES}_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \\ &= \left(\beta_{00} + \beta_{10} \text{SES}_{ij}\right) + b *_{0j} + \varepsilon_{ij} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$$

proc mixed data=mixed.hsb cl covtest; model student mathach = student ses / solution ddfm=bw; random intercept / subject=school id; run;



Covariance Parameter Estimates										
Cov Parm	Subject	Estimate	Standard Error	Z Value	Pr > Z	Alpha	Lower	Up		
Intercept	school_ID	4.7665	0.6549	7.28	<.0001	0.05	3.7045	6.3		
Residual		37.0346	0.6254	59.22	<.0001	0.05	35.8388	38.2		

4.77 = Variability of the school intercepts – significantly different from zero 37.03 = Level 1 variability of math achievement scores

Solution for Fixed Effects									
Effect	Estimate	Standard Error	DF	t Value	Pr >  t				
Intercept	12.6575	0.1880	159	67.34	<.0001				
student_ses	2.3903	0.1057	7024	22.61	<.0001				











Level 1 Equation:

$$Math_{ij} = b_{0j} + b_{1j}SES_{ij} + \mathcal{E}_{ij} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{E}_{ij} \sim N($$

Level 2 Equations:

$$b_{0j} = \beta_{00} + b_{0j}^{*} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} b_{0j}^{*} \\ b_{1j}^{*} \end{pmatrix} \sim N \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{00}^{2} \\ \sigma_{10}^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$

**Reduced-Form Equation:** 

$$Math_{ij} = \left(\beta_{00} + b*_{0j}\right) + \left(\beta_{10} + b*_{1j}\right)SES_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}$$
$$= \left(\beta_{00} + \beta_{10}SES_{ij}\right) + \left(b*_{0j} + b*_{1j}SES_{ij}\right) + \varepsilon_{ij}$$
Fixed-  
Effects Random-  
Effects



 $(0,\sigma^2)$ 





Reduced-Form Equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Math}_{ij} &= \left(\beta_{00} + b *_{0j}\right) + \left(\beta_{10} + b *_{1j}\right) \text{SES}_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \\ &= \left(\beta_{00} + \beta_{10} \text{SES}_{ij}\right) + \left(b *_{0j} + b *_{1j} \text{SES}_{ij}\right) + \varepsilon_{ij} \\ &\underbrace{\text{Fixed-}}_{\text{Effects}} \\ \end{aligned}$$

proc mixed data=mixed.hsb cl covtest; model student mathach = student ses / solution ddfm=bw; random intercept student ses / subject=school id type=un g gcorr; run;



 $\begin{pmatrix} b^*_{0j} \\ b^*_{1j} \end{pmatrix} \sim N \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2_{00} \\ \sigma_{10} \\ \sigma_{10} \\ \sigma^2_{11} \end{bmatrix}$ G matrix



	Covariance Parameter Estimates											
Cov Parm	Subject	Estimate	Standard Error	Z Value	Pr Z	Alpha	Lower	Uppe				
UN(1,1)	school_ID	4.8278	0.6719	7.18	<.0001	0.05	3.7406	6.4718				
UN(2,1)	school_ID	-0.1547	0.2988	-0.52	0.6046	0.05	-0.7403	0.4308				
UN(2,2)	school_ID	0.4127	0.2350	1.76	0.0395	0.05	0.1730	1.9418				
Residual		36.8304	0.6293	58.52	<.0001	0.05	35.6274	38.0950				

4.82 = Variability of the school intercepts

-0.15 = Covariance of intercepts and slopes

0.41 = Variability of the school slopes

significantly different from zero negative, not different from zero significantly different from zero

36.83 = Level 1 variability of math achievement scores

Solution for Fixed Effects								
Effect	Estimate	Standard Error	DF	t Value	Pr >  t			
Intercept	12.6651	0.1898	159	66.72	<.0001			
student_ses	2.3938	0.1181	7024	20.27	<.0001			

Copyright © SAS Institute Inc. All rights reserved.





### **Comparison of Models**

Model Effects		Va	riance Paran	neter Estima	Fixed Effect Estin	Model Fit		
Intercept	Student SES Slope	Residual variance	Variance of Random Intercept	Variance of Random SES Slope	Covariance of Intercept and Slope	Intercept	Slope Student SES	AIC
Fixed	Fixed	41.20				12.74	3.18	47,106
Random	Fixed	37.03	4.77			12.66	2.39	46,649
Random	Random	36.83	4.83	0.41	-0.15	12.67	2.39	46,648



### **Estimated School Relationships**

```
proc mixed data=mixed.hsb cl covtest;
                                               20
  model student_mathach = student ses /
     solution
     ddfm=bw
     outpred=predicted;
                                               15
  random intercept student ses /
     subject=school id
                                            Predicted
     type=un;
run;
                                               10
title 'School-specific Lines';
proc sgplot data=predicted;
  series y=pred x=student ses /
                                               5
     group=school_id;
run; quit;
```









#### SAS and statistics texts

 $\begin{cases} y_{ij} = b_{0j} + b_{1j} x_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \end{cases}$  $\begin{cases} b_{0j} = \beta_{00} + \beta_{01} w_j + b *_{0j} \\ b_{1j} = \beta_{10} + \beta_{11} w_j + b *_{1j} \end{cases}$  $\begin{cases} \mathcal{E}_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \\ \begin{bmatrix} b *_{0j} \\ b *_{1i} \end{bmatrix} \sim N \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2_{00} \\ \sigma_{10} \\ \sigma_{10} \\ \sigma_{11} \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$ 



#### Longitudinal Data: Time Nested within a Child



$$= \beta_{00} + b *_{0j} \\ = \beta_{10} + b *_{1j}$$



### **Combinations of Fixed and Random Effects**



Copyright © SAS Institute Inc. All rights reserved

#### Homoscedastic versus Heteroscedastic Level 1

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{1} & \varepsilon_{2} & L & \varepsilon_{T} \\ \varepsilon_{1} & \varepsilon_{2} & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{1} & \varepsilon_{2} & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{2} & \sigma^{2} & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{T} & \sigma^{2} & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{T} & \varepsilon_{2} & L & \varepsilon_{T} \\ \varepsilon_{1} & \varepsilon_{2} & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{T} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{T} & 0 & \sigma_{T}^{2} \\ \varepsilon_{T} & \varepsilon_{T} & \varepsilon_{T} \\ \varepsilon_$$

oscedastic Level 1 ual variance matrix time points (equal nce at all time points)

roscedastic Level 1 ual variance matrix time points (unequal nce at each time



### **Example: Antisocial Behavior**

- N=405 cases drawn from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth (NLSY)
- Age 6 to 8 years at first assessment; reassessed a maximum of three more times every other year
- Mother's report of child antisocial behavior on six items; each has a 0,1,2 response scale; sum score ranges from 0 to 12
- Two predictors: child gender and level of cognitive support of child in the home at initial assessment

Research question:

What are the characteristics of trajectories of antisocial behavior, and can these trajectories be predicted by child-level measures?



### Homoscedastic Level 1 Variance

proc mixed data=mixed.antilong covtest ;
 class id;
 model anti = age/ solution ddfm=bw;
 random intercept age / subject=id type=un g gcorr;
run;

### Heteroscedastic Level 1 Variand

proc mixed data=mixed.antilong covtest;

class id ageclas; model anti = age / solution ddfm=bw; random intercept age / subject=id type=un repeated ageclas / type=un(1) subject=id; run;



292	Fit Statistics				
~~3	-2 Res Log Likelihood		5284.0		
	AIC (smaller is better)		5308.0		
	AICC (smaller is better	r)	5308.3		
	BIC (smaller is better)	ļ	5356.1		
g gcorr;					



### **Example: Antisocial Behavior**

Covariance Parameter Estimates									
Cov Parm	Subject	Estimate	Standard Error	Z Value	Pr Z				
UN(1,1)	id	1.0134	0.2312	4.38	<.0001				
UN(2,1)	id	0.07303	0.03831	1.91	0.0566				
UN(2,2)	id	0.02295	0.01009	2.27	0.0115				
Residual		1.7518	0.1017	17.23	<.0001				

Solution for Fixed Effects							
Effect	Estimate	Standard Error	DF	t Value	Pr >  t		
Intercept	1.6289	0.08573	404	19.00	<.0001		
age	0.07425	0.01774	956	4.18	<.0001		





### **Conclusions: Antisocial Behavior**

- The significant fixed effects reflect that the mean level of antisocial behavior at age six (coded 0 in these models) is 1.63, and antisocial behavior is increasing by 0.07 units per year.
- The significant random effects reflect individual variability among the intercepts (1.01) and among the slopes (0.023). This is also seen in the plot of predicted values for each individual.
- There is a marginally significant (p=0.056) covariance between the intercepts and slopes, and the correlation is 0.48. This indicates that, on average, children reporting higher initial levels of antisocial behavior tend to increase more steeply over time.





### **Examples of Three-Level Data**

- Three-level data might occur because individuals are nested within groups and groups are in turn clustered within still higher-level units.
  - residents within neighborhoods within counties
  - patients within physicians within hospitals
- Three-level data often also occurs in studies of individual change over time when individuals are nested within groups.
  - performance over time nested within person nested within department
  - symptoms over time nested within patient nested within physician



### Variance Partitioning

Variance Decomposition:  $V(y_{iik}) = \sigma_{00}^{2(3)} + \sigma_{00}^{2(2)} + \sigma^{2}$ Suppose *i* is patient, *j* is physician, and *k* is hospital:

 $\sigma^2/(\sigma_{00}^{2(3)} + \sigma_{00}^{2(2)} + \sigma^2)$  is the proportion of variance due to patients within physicians.

 $\sigma_{00}^{2(2)}/(\sigma_{00}^{2(3)} + \sigma_{00}^{2(2)} + \sigma^{2})$  is the proportion of variance due to physicians within hospitals.

 $\sigma_{00}^{2(3)}/(\sigma_{00}^{2(3)}+\sigma_{00}^{2(2)}+\sigma^{2})$  is the proportion of variance due to hospitals.



### **Specification in the MIXED Procedure**

proc mixed data=mydata; class L3 ID L2 ID; model y = x w1 w2 / solution ddfm=kr2;random intercept / subject=L2 ID(L3 ID); random intercept / subject=L3 ID; run;

- Notice the use of two RANDOM statements to define the random intercepts at Level 2 and Level 3.
- Notice the use of the CLASS statement for the Level 2 and Level 3 ID variables.





### **Random Slopes for Three-Level Data**

- In three-level models, you can have the following predictors:
  - predictors at Level 1 with random effects that vary over the second or third levels (or both) of the model
  - predictors at Level 2 with random effects that vary over the third level of the model
- When the three levels consist of repeated measures within persons within groups, random slopes for time are common at both Levels 2 and 3.
  - These reflect that rates of change over time might differ across groups as well as across individuals within groups.
- Random slopes are most common with repeated measures.



#### **Random Slopes for Three Level Data**

• Notice that in this model, there are random intercepts and slopes for x(time) at both Levels 1 and 2.

Level 1: 
$$y_{ijk} = b_{0jk} + b_{1jk}x_{ijk} + \varepsilon_{ijk}$$
  $\varepsilon_{ijk} \sim$   
Level 2:  $b_{0jk} = b_{00k} + b_{0jk}^{*}$   $\begin{pmatrix} b_{0jk}^{*} \\ b_{1jk}^{*} = b_{10k}^{*} + b_{1jk}^{*}$   $\begin{pmatrix} b_{0jk}^{*} \\ b_{1jk}^{*} \end{pmatrix} \sim N \begin{bmatrix} b_{0jk}^{*} \\ b_{1jk}^{*} \end{bmatrix} = b_{00k}^{*} + b_{1jk}^{*}$ 

Level 3: 
$$b_{00k} = \beta_{000} + b_{00k}^{*}$$
  $\begin{pmatrix} b_{00k}^{*} \\ b_{00k} \end{pmatrix} \sim N \begin{bmatrix} b_{10k}^{*} \\ b_{10k}^{*} \end{bmatrix} \sim N \begin{bmatrix} b_{10k}^{*} \\ b_{10k}^{*} \end{bmatrix}$ 

Reduced Form:

$$y_{ijk} = \left(\beta_{000} + \beta_{100} x_{ijk}\right) + \left(b *_{00k} + b *_{10k} x_{ijk}\right) + \left(b *_{00k} + b *_{00k} x_{ijk}\right) + \left(b *_{00k$$



 $N(0,\sigma^2)$ 



 $b*_{0jk}+b*_{1jk}x_{ijk}+\mathcal{E}_{ijk}$ 



### Interpretations of Multilevel Equations

• For a three-level, linear growth model, the equations for the three levels can be conceptualized as follows:

Level 1: 
$$y_{ijk} = b_{0jk} + b_{1jk} x_{ijk} + \varepsilon_{ijk}$$
 Individution

Level 2: 
$$b_{0jk} = b_{00k} + b_{0jk}^*$$
 Gr  
 $b_{1jk} = b_{10k} + b_{1jk}^*$  Gr

roup mean trajectory + oup trajectory

Level 3: 
$$b_{00k} = \beta_{000} + b *_{00k}$$
  
 $b_{10k} = \beta_{100} + b *_{10k}$ 

trajectories

- ual trajectory + pecific residuals
- dividual variability around
- Mean trajectory over all groups + variability across the group



### **Specification in the MIXED Procedure**

- All fixed effects are entered in the MODEL statement.
- Two RANDOM statements are used to designate the random intercepts and slopes at Levels 2 and 3.



#### (L3 ID)



# Continue Your Learning with a SAS Course

<u>https://learn.sas.com/course/view.php?id=268</u> Free 7-day trial!

Multilevel Modeling of Hiera Longitudinal Data Using SA	archio S®	cal and	English	~
CONTENTS				
Lesson 1: Introduction to Multilevel Models	Î	Open Course Notes	Open a printable PDF for this lesson.	
✓ Course Notes				
Lesson 2: Basic Multilevel Mod	lels			
✓ Course Notes				
Lesson 3: Slopes as Outcomes and Model Evaluation				
✓ Course Notes			ENROLL	
Lesson 4: The Analysis of Repeated Measures				
Course Notes				
Lesson 5: Three Level and Cros Classified Models	is in the second se			
Course Notes				
Ov	erview	Hands-On Lab	Course Materials	

# SAS9 $\sim$ THIS COURSE IS PART OF



#### **Continue Your Learning with SAS Programming** SAS OnDemand for Academics



 Free SAS software for students, educators, and independent learners.

Register at: <u>www.sas.com/ondemand</u>

 Launch at: <u>welcome.oda.sas.com</u>



## **Questions?**

# Thank you!

#### Jacqueline.Johnson@sas.com

Copyright © SAS Institute Inc. All rights reserved.

